NOMENCLATURAL CHANGES IN THE GENUS FUCHSIA (ONAGRACEAE)

In Berry (1982), ten new species of Fuchsia were described. A typographical error was made in one of these descriptions (the first "i" was omitted) and is corrected as follows:

a hermaphrodite plant with larger flowers. Leaf size in F. lycioides, on the other hand, is too variable to distinguish it from F. parviflora. Quite likely Lindley's report of F. parviflora as a native of Mexico was in error, since F. lycioides is restricted to a narrow coastal area of central Chile, and his type was from a specimen cultivated in England.

Fuchsia coriacifolia P. Berry, Ann. Missouri Bot. Card. 69: 150. 1982.

Examination of specimens from Cambridge University revealed that the type of F. parviflora Lindley, treated by Breedlove (1969) as a species of the Mexican and Central American sect. Encliandra, does not belong to that group. Instead, it belongs to the monotypic sect. Kierschlegeria and is conspecific with the earlier described F. lycioides. As a result, the following nomenclatural changes are needed:

Fuchsia lycioides Andrews, Bot. Rep. 2: pl. 120. 1800. TYPE: plate 120 of the Botanists Repository, vol. 2 (lectotype, here designated).

Fuchsia cylindracea Lindley, Bot. Reg. 24: 66. 1838. TYPE: cultivated at the Horticultural Society, London, England, raised from Mexican seeds presented by George Barker, without collector (lectotype, CGE).

Fuchsia parviflora Lindley, sensu Breedlove, Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. 53: 56. 1969.

The type sheet of F. cylindracea has two separate branches, one male and the other female. The male portion is here designated as the lectotype, since the species is dioecious (Breedlove, 1969), and an illustration of a male branch accompanies the type description.

Fuchsia parviflora Lindley, Bot. Reg. 13: 1048. 1827. TYPE: cultivated in the Chiswick Garden, England, from seed presented to the Horticultural Society by George Canning in 1824, without collector, July 1826 (holotype, CGE).

The type of F. parviflora has alternate leaves and both series of stamens erect, clearly excluding it from sect. Encliandra, in which all members have opposite leaves and the antipetalous stamens reflexed back into the floral tube (Breedlove, 1969). Lindley, in fact, noted the close similarity of F. parviflora to F. lycioides, distinguishing his species mainly by the smaller flower size and the longer petioles. Fuchsia lycioides is subdioecious, however, with the pistillate flowers nearly half the size of the hermaphrodite ones (Atsatt & Rundel, 1982). Lindley's type was from a pistillate individual, whereas Andrews's type of F. lycioides was from

LITERATURE CITED

- ATSATT, P. R. & P. RUNDEL. 1982. Pollinator maintenance vs. fruit production: partitioned reproductive effort in subdioecious Fuchsia lycioides. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 69: 199-208.
- BERRY, P. E. 1982. The systematics and evolution of Fuchsia sect. Fuchsia (Onagraceae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 69: 1-198.
- BREEDLOVE, D. E. 1969. The systematics of Fuchsia section Encliandra (Onagraceae). Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. 53: 1-69.

-Paul E. Berry, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Departamento de Biología de Organismos, Apartado 89000, Caracas 1081, Venezuela.

ANN. MISSOURI BOT. GARD. 75: 1150. 1988.